

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<u> </u>			
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,252	01/09/2002	Tetsuro Yoshimoto	60188-141	1962
20277 7	590 09/08/2003			
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096		EXAMINER .		
		•	KOYAMA, KUMIKO C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
		DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					M.			
,		Application No.		Appli ant(s)	41			
Office Action Summary		10/030,252		YOSHIMOTO ET	AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit	-			
•		Kumiko C. Koyama		2876				
The Period for Re	n MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ars on the cov rs	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	<i>May 2003</i> .						
2a) 🗌 Th	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition o			•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) □ The	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	owledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•			l application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)	and the second s	- pilotity andor ou	33 120					
1) Notice of F 2) Notice of C	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				
S. Patent and Tradema TO-326 (Rev. 04		ion Summary		Part of Paper No. 7				

Application/Control Number: 10/030,252

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of receipt of Amendment filed on May 30, 2003.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 1, line 2: "the outside" should be changed to --outside--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asami (US 6,036,100).

Re claim 1: Asami teaches a noncontact IC card that transmits and receives data to and from a host computer using RF signals (col 3, lines 27-36). The IC card includes a rectification circuit that rectifies the RF signal received by transmission antenna unit to supply current to the other internal components of IC card and the rectification circuit thus acts as a power supply unit for the internal circuitry of IC card (col 1, lines 29-34). The IC card is supplied with a power from the outside in a contactless manner because the rectification circuit provides power to the

Application/Control Number: 10/030,252 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

IC card when there is an RF signal, which is from outside and considered as a power supply, received by transmission antenna. Asami also teaches that the noncontact IC card includes a Trans/Receipt antenna unit 3, a buffer memory 10 and EEPROM 7 (nonvolatile memory). The noncontact IC card further includes an UART for transmitting data received by the antenna unit 3 and a modulation circuit 4/demodulation circuit 5 to the buffer memory 10 and transmitting data stored in the buffer memory 10 to the antenna unit 3 and therefore, the UART acts as the DMA circuit (col 3, lines 27-36, col 4, lines 27-45). The control circuit 8 acts both a CPU and a state control means. It acts as a CPU because the control circuit 8 executes write/read process on the buffer memory 10 and the EEPROM 7 by setting the enable signal for the data processing (col 4, lines 32-40, 55-60). The control circuit 8 is also considered as a state control means because it halts or does not processes the operation of the EEPROM/nonvoatile memory while the antenna unit is transmitting or sending data to/from the outside (col 4, lines 55+, Fig 2, and Abstract). The control circuit 8 also halts or disables its own operation to the EEPROM/nonvolatile memory, which is also considered as halting the operation of the CPU.

Re claim 2: Fig. 9 shows an interruption signal (c) that occurs between the 1st and 2nd data bit (m bytes) that enables data processing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asami in view of the admitted prior art.

Asami teaches that the antenna unit and the modulating circuit work together in a sequential manner and therefore, is considered as a transmission circuit as a whole. As shown in Fig. 2, the signal (c) shows that a signal that is set HIGH to enable processing of the data other than the times when the data is being transmitted, which is represented by signal (a). A preset signal is inherently taught because it is necessary to provide some type of electrical signal in order to set the signal HIGH.

The admitted prior art discloses the ISO 14443-3 in the Background Art section of the application and the standard ISO 14443-3 was known by others before the applicant's invention. Furthermore, the it discloses that "contactless IC cards under development in various companies are to comply with the anti-collision function of ISO 14443-3 for allowing one reader/writer to simultaneously write/read data in/from a plurality of IC cards."

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Asami and have an IC card that is compliant to 14443-3 in order to transfer data to multiple IC cards without having erroneous data transfer.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai (US 5,845,134). The teachings of Asami have been discussed above.

Asami fail to teach an IC card comprising a resume circuit for storing, when data write processing on the nonvolatile memory executed by the CPU is interrupted, a proceeding state of the write processing up to time of interruption, wherein the CPU resumes the write processing on the nonvolatile memory on the basis of the proceeding state stored in the resume circuit.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

ŗ

Arai teaches a resume control system of a computer system having a CPU provided with a system management mode for accessing a predetermined memory space and a protect mode with a memory addressing method different from the system management mode (col 14 lines 40-44). Arai also teaches a first resume means for executing first resume processing for restoring the status data of the computer system and system management means for managing an operation of the computer system.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Arai to the teachings of Asami in order to avoid the writing process while data transmission to avoid error in transmission and continue when the transmission of data is over so that the system is not remain paused, but to start up the process again, which utilizes the time efficiently without wasting time.

7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asami in view of Yamaguchi (US 5,365,047). The teachings of Asami have been discussed above.

Asami fails to teach wherein the state control circuit includes a time counting circuit for starting counting time in response to the CPU going into halt state, stopping counting the time in response to restoration of the CPU to an operative state and outputting a counted value to the CPU. Asami also fail to teach a time monitoring circuit for starting counting time in response to the CPU going into a halt state and outputting a timeout signal to the CPU when the CPU does not restore to an operative state before a counted value reaches a given value and wherein the CPU goes into the operative state in response to the timeout signal output by the time monitoring circuit.

Art Unit: 2876

F

Yamaguchi teaches an IC card comprising a timer means for counting a set time (col 3, lines 5-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary sill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Yamaguchi to the teachings of Asami in order to ensure that the data are transmitted at a proper rate as well as within a certain amount of time so that when data transmission is not complete within a certain amount of time, the card can acknowledge that the transmitted data may contain erroneous data, and thereby preventing erroneous data to be stored in the IC card.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The examiner has found a new prior art that she believes is more appropriate to the present application, and therefore, has applied the newly found prior art for rejecting the claims. Details of the rejection have been discussed above.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 10/030,252

Art Unit: 2876

The prior art of record, Asami taken alone or in combination fails to teach a normal and error waveform storing means for storing a waveform pattern standardized by ISO/IEC 14443-3 and correcting the data.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kumiko C. Koyama whose telephone number is 703-305-5425. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Kumiko C. Kayama

Kumiko C. Koyama

August 13, 2003

MICHAEL G. LEE PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER MECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7